

R.95-04-043, I.95-04-044 COM/DWF/jmj \*

41. It is reasonable to treat the listing of a CLC-RCF number as a primary directory listing to include it in the directory assistance data base listings.

42. The Telecommunications Act of 1996 does not preclude this Commission from setting the rates, terms and conditions of INP services.

**INTERIM ORDER**

**IT IS ORDERED that:**

1. Pacific Bell (Pacific) shall file a tariff in accordance with General Order (GO) 96-A within 5 working days of the effective date of this order which provides for interim number portability to certificated competitive local carriers (CLCs) and which conforms to prices, terms and conditions set forth in its proposed interim number portability (INP) tariff filing of September 18, 1995 except for the modifications set forth below.

2. Pacific's proposed nonrecurring charges for Directory Number Call Forwarding of \$31.75 per number for ordering and provisioning, and of \$31.25 per number for providing number referral are rejected.

3. Pacific's alternate proposed nonrecurring charges for Directory Number Call Forwarding of \$75.50 for the first number and \$10 for each additional number per order for ordering and provisioning, and of \$74.75 per number for the first number and \$9.50 for each additional number per order for providing number referral are rejected.

4. Pacific interim nonrecurring charge for Directory Number Call Forwarding is fixed at \$4.15 for ordering and provisioning of the service as set forth in Appendix B - Table 2; there shall be no charge for providing number referral upon disconnect.

R.95-04-043, I.95-04-044 COM/DWF/jmj \*

5. Pacific's interim monthly recurring charge for Directory Number Call Forwarding of \$3.25 shall be reduced to \$1.94 as set forth in Appendix B - Table 2.

6. GTE California (GTEC) shall file a tariff in accordance with GO 96-A within 5 working days of the effective date of this order which provides for INP to CLCs and which conforms to the prices, terms, and conditions set forth in GTEC's proposed tariff filing of September 18, 1995, and subsequent amendments except for the modifications below.

7. GTEC shall reduce its monthly recurring charge to \$2.29, and shall eliminate its proposed tariff rate of \$2.70 per month for usage charges and its proposed \$2.70 per month charge for simultaneous call capabilities as set forth in Appendix B - Table 2.

8. CACD shall conduct a technical workshop on March 13, 1996 to explore the relative efficiency and rate structure of using the negotiated local interconnection arrangements for transporting CLC-DID traffic compared to the use of dedicated trunk groups. Parties will be permitted to file written comments on workshop topics 10 calendar days following the workshop. A Commission decision establishing tariff rates for CLC-DID service will be issued thereafter.

9. GTEC shall revise its tariff for nonrecurring charges to reflect the amounts set forth in Pacific's adopted tariff for nonrecurring charges.

10. Both Pacific and GTEC shall track billed INP revenues in a memorandum account pending the determination of prices in Open Access and Network Architecture Development (OANAD). Charges shall be tracked by rate element or charge for each CLC and for each separate retail account.

11. The LECs shall credit the memorandum account for an amount equal to the revenues billed under their respective INP tariffs.

R.95-04-043, I.95-04-044 COM/DWF/jmj

12. Once revised rates for INP are established in the OANAD proceeding, the LECs shall adjust the accrued balance of past billings in the memorandum account for the difference in the old and the new INP rates accordingly.

13. Compound interest shall be applied to the memorandum account balance based upon the three-month commercial paper interest rate as published by the Federal Reserve Board.

14. At the time of the true-up, an amortization factor shall be determined by which the LECs shall be authorized to amortize the adjusted balance in the memorandum account.

15. The nonrecurring charges established in this decision shall be billed directly to the CLC which incurred the charges.

16. Pacific's proposed tariff provision which indicates that a CLC may order up to a maximum of 99 CLC-RCFs associated with the same CLC customer on a single CLC-RCF number shall be amended to read: "A CLC may order up to a maximum of 99 call paths associated with the same CLC customer for a single CLC-RCF number." This change will make it clear that the CLC will not be charged 99 times the call forwarding rate for a single call-forwarding number to which 99 simultaneous transfers can be made.

17. GTEC shall amend the terms of its tariff to make explicit that the listing of a CLC-RCF number shall be treated as a primary directory listing and included in the directory assistance data base listings.

R.95-04-043, I.95-04-044 COM/DWF/jmj

18. GTTC shall amend the terms of its tariff to include coin operated pay phones within the applicability provisions of the tariff.

This order is effective today.

Dated April 10, 1996, at Sacramento, California.

DANIEL Wm. FESSLER  
President  
P. GREGORY CONLON  
JESSIE J. KNIGHT, JR.  
HENRY M. DUQUE  
JOSIAH L. NEPPER  
Commissioners

R.95-04-043. I.95-04-044 COM/DWF/jmj

## APPENDIX A

Page 1

List of Respondents

**Respondents:** David Diacher and Theresa Cabral, Attorneys at Law, and Pillsbury, Madison & Sutro, by James B. Young, Attorney at Law, for Pacific Bell; Judith Rodaian and Susan Rossi, and Munger, Tolles & Olson, by Henry Williams, for GTE California, Incorporated; Orrick, Herrington & Sutcliffe, by Robert Gloistain, Attorney at Law, for Contel of California, Inc.; and Barbara Snider, Attorney at Law, for Citizens Utilities Company.

**Interested Parties:** Eric Arman, Attorney at Law and Swindler & Berlin, by Andrew Lipman and Richard Rinder, Attorneys at Law, for MPS Communications Company and Subsidiaries, Carmela Castellano, Attorney at Law, for MCLR, SLIC, FAA, PCRA, KSCC; John Clark, Attorney at Law, for California Association of Long Distance Telephone Companies, Inc., Caltel; Jeffrey Beck and Jullisa Bronfman, Attorneys at Law, for CP National, Evans Telephone Company, GTE West Coast Incorporated, Kerman Telephone Company, Pinnacles Telephone Company, The Siskiyou Telephone Company, Tuolumne Telephone Company, and The Volcano Telephone Company; Shelley Bergum, for Deaf & Disabled Telecommunications Program; Cooper, White & Cooper, by E. Garth Black, Mark Schreiber and Sean Beatty, Attorneys at Law, for Roseville Telephone Company, Ducer Telephone Company, Calaveras Telephone Company, California-Oregon Telephone Company, Winterhaven Telephone Company, Foresthill Telephone Company, Sierra Telephone Company, Happy Valley Telephone Company, Hornitos Telephone Company and Ponderosa Telephone Company; Blumenfeld & Cohen, by Stephen Bowen, Attorney at Law, for MCI Telecommunications Corporation; Peter Casciato, Attorney at Law, for Time Warner AXS of California, L.P. and Association of Directory Publishers; Jon Chambers, for Sprint Telecommunications Venture; Ellen Deutsch, Attorney at Law, for Electric Lightwave, Inc.; Davis, Wright & Tremaine, by Joseph Faber, Attorney at Law, for California Committee for Large Telecommunications Consumers; Alan Gardner, Glen Semohr, Carrington Phillip and Jennifer Johns, Attorneys at Law, for California Cable Television Association; William C. Harrelson, Attorney at Law, for MCI Telecommunications Corporation; Manning Lee and Teresa Marrero, for TCG; Thomas Long and Bruce J. Weston, Attorneys at Law, for Toward Utilities Rate Normalization; Graham & James, by Martin A. Mattes, Attorney at

R.95-04-043, I.95-04-044 COM/DWF/jmj

## APPENDIX A

Page 2

Law, for California Payphone Association; Patrick McMahon, Attorney at Law, for Sprint Communications Company, L.P.; Michael A. Morris, Attorney at Law, for Teleport Communications Group; Terry Murray, for Murray & Associates; Karen M. Potkul, Attorney at Law, and McCutchen, Doyle, Brown & Enersen, by Terry J. Hauligan, Attorney at Law, for AT&T Communications of California; Law Offices of Earl Nicholas Selby, by Earl Nicholas Selby, Attorney at Law, for ICG Access Services, Inc.; Cecil O. Simpson, Jr., Attorney at Law, for U.S. Department of Defense and All Other Federal Executive Agencies; Jerry Varcak, for Bank of America; and Virginia J. Taylor, Staff Counsel, for California Department of Consumer Affairs.

Commission Advisory and Compliance Division: Dorothy Duda, Karen Jones, and Jonathan Lakritz.

Division of Ratepayer Advocates: Helen Mickiewicz and Ira Kalinsky, Attorneys at Law.

Public Advisor's Office: Robert Feraru.

(END OF APPENDIX A)

R.95-04-043. I.95-04-044 COM/DWF/jmj

**APPENDIX B****TABLE 1 -- ADOPTED RATES AND CHARGES FOR  
WHOLESALE REMOTE CALL FORWARDING**

<b>Wholesale Remote Call Forwarding</b>	<b>Pacific Bell</b>	<b>GTE California, Inc.</b>
<b>Monthly Recurring Rate</b>	<b>\$1.94</b>	<b>\$2.29</b>
<b>Nonrecurring Charges</b>		
<b>Installation:</b>		
Per number ported	<b>\$4.15</b>	<b>\$4.15</b>
For each additional ported	<b>\$0.00</b>	<b>\$0.00</b>
<b>Number Referral after discontinuance:</b>		
Per first number ported	<b>\$0.00</b>	<b>N/A</b>
For each additional ported	<b>\$0.00</b>	
<b>Simultaneous Call Capability</b>	<b>N/A</b>	<b>\$0.00</b>

**TABLE 2 -- ADOPTED ADJUSTMENTS TO  
MONTHLY RECURRING RATE FOR  
CLC-RCF**

<b>Adjustments</b>	<b>Pacific Bell</b>	<b>GTE California, Inc.</b>
<b>Proposed Monthly Recurring Rate</b>	<b>\$3.25</b>	<b>\$6.50</b>
<b>Less:</b>		
<b>Disallowed Retail Expenses</b>	<b>N/A</b>	<b>\$2.70</b>
<b>Estimated Avoided Retail Expenses</b>	<b>\$1.31</b>	<b>\$1.52</b>
<b>Adopted Monthly Recurring Rate</b>	<b>\$1.94</b>	<b>\$2.29</b>

**(END OF APPENDIX B)**